

2019

Trade mark contentious

Tier 1

Alessandri

Carey

Sargent & Krahn

Silva

Tier 2



Tier 2 Albagli Zaliasnik Claro & Cia Johansson & Langlois Porzio Rios Garcia Abogados Villaseca Abogados Tier 3 Beuchat Barros & Pfenniger



Tier 3	
Clarke Modet & Co	
Covarrubias & Cia	

Trade mark prosecution

Tier 1

<u>Carey</u>



Sargent & Krahn Silva Tier 2 Albagli Zaliasnik Alessandri Beuchat Barros & Pfenniger Claro & Cia



Tier 2

Johansson & Langlois

Porzio Rios Garcia Abogados

Villaseca Abogados

Tier 3

Clarke Modet & Co

Covarrubias & Cia

Sovarrubias & Cia

Source: IP Stars / Managing Intellectual Property (MIP)



Methodology

These rankings are based on information available at the time the research was completed (January 2019). The research was conducted rigorously and impartially by our team of researchers in London, New York and Hong Kong. No firm can pay to be included or to influence the results, and there is no fee to pay to participate in our research.

Each year our research analysts obtain information from thousands of firms, IP practitioners and their clients through interviews, email and online surveys. Before compiling the rankings, our research analysts also conducted their own independent research, including an analysis of publicly available information (such as court or IP office data) and existing data we hold on firms. The aspects assessed for the firm rankings include expertise, workload, market reputation/record, outcomes achieved for clients, and unique strengths in a given practice area. Judgements about which firms to include in the rankings, and which tier and practice area they should be in, take account of all this information. This makes IP STARS the most comprehensive and authoritative survey of the IP legal market yet published.

Firms are ranked alphabetically in tiers, or as highly recommended or recommended. The total number of firms listed varies from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. For most jurisdictions the rankings are split into prosecution and contentious work. However, in smaller jurisdictions we evaluated and ranked firms for their IP practice as a whole. The trade mark prosecution ranking takes account of pre and post-registration work including contentious office proceedings and portfolio management advice, whereas the trade mark contentious ranking covers trade mark-related disputes including anti-counterfeiting work. Where appropriate, some firms have been ranked for both practice areas.

Except for name changes, any subsequent developments or information that could influence our rankings will be considered during the research for the 2020 edition of IP STARS, which starts in September 2019. For the avoidance of doubt, the tables do not suggest or indicate that the IP expertise or services of the listed firms are limited to the practice area in question. Managing IP does not recommend or endorse any particular firm for IP work. IP STARS rankings are subject to change each year. Visit **ipstars.com** to learn more about our research.